# Phase Diagram & Dynamics

# F.M. Marchetti







Workshop on BdG & GP equations, Manchester, 29 September 2007

# Why atomic gases?

#### Search for novel phases of quantum coherent matter

- Tuning the interaction strength
- Mixtures of different statistics
- Optical lattices
- ▶ 1D, 2D





#### Fermi superfluids



#### Fermi superfluids



#### **Polarised Fermi superfluids**



# Can superfluidity persist in presence of a population imbalance?

# Why interesting?

- Magnetised superconductors (Zeeman)
- Quantum Chromodynamics (and neutron stars)
- Electron-hole bilayers





## **BEC-BCS crossover in electron-hole systems!**

[L.V. Keldysh & Yu V. Kopaev, Sov. Phys. Solid State 6, 2219 (1965)]





[J. Kasprzak et al., Nature 443, 409 (2006)]

n the Solid State

#### Outline

- BEC-BCS crossover
- 1. Unbalanced populations
  - Homogeneous phase diagram: T=0 & finite T
  - Trap
  - Experiments
- 2. Unequal masses
- 3. Dynamics of phase separation
- Conclusions & prospectives



#### M.M. Parish A. Lamacraft B.D. Simons

- 1. [M.M. Parish, F.M. Marchetti, A. Lamacraft, & B.D. Simons, Nature Physics 3, 124 (2007)]
- 2. [M.M. Parish, F.M. Marchetti, A. Lamacraft, & B.D. Simons, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 98, 160402 (2007)]
- 3. [A. Lamacraft & F.M. Marchetti, preprint cond-mat/0701692]
- 4. [F.M. Marchetti, C. Mathy, & M.M. Parish, (related work on BF mixtures!)]







![](_page_12_Figure_0.jpeg)

diatomic molecules

• weakly attractive fermionic atoms

At T=0, described by the same ground state

$$e^{\lambda \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \varphi_{\mathbf{k}} c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k},\uparrow} c^{\dagger}_{-\mathbf{k},\downarrow} |0\rangle} =$$

$$\prod_{\mathbf{k}} \left( u_{\mathbf{k}} + v_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k},\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{-\mathbf{k},\downarrow}^{\dagger} \right) |\mathbf{0}\rangle$$

![](_page_13_Figure_0.jpeg)

 $\Delta_{BCS} \sim k_B T_{BCS} \ll \varepsilon_F$ 

 $T_{\mathsf{BFC}} \sim T_F \ll T_{\mathsf{diss}}$ 

![](_page_14_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### What if not every fermion can pair up?

#### Single-channel model

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}} - \sum_{\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow} \mu_{\sigma} \widehat{N}_{\sigma} = \sum_{\mathbf{k},\sigma} (\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \mu_{\sigma}) c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} + \frac{U}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}',\mathbf{q}} c_{\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{\downarrow} c_{\uparrow}$$

- Contact interaction  $\frac{1}{U} = \frac{m}{4\pi a} \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{2\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}}$
- Allow for different populations

$$\begin{split} \hat{n}_{\uparrow} &= \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}\uparrow} c_{\mathbf{k}\uparrow} \\ \hat{n}_{\downarrow} &= \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}\downarrow} c_{\mathbf{k}\downarrow} \end{split}$$

- Averaged chemical potential & 'Zeeman' term [total density & population imbalance (or 'magnetisation')]
  - $$\begin{split} \mu &= (\mu_{\uparrow} + \mu_{\downarrow})/2 & \hat{n} &= \hat{n}_{\uparrow} + \hat{n}_{\downarrow} \\ h &= (\mu_{\uparrow} \mu_{\downarrow})/2 & \hat{m} &= \hat{n}_{\uparrow} \hat{n}_{\downarrow} \end{split}$$

#### Analogy with magnetised superconductors

 A population imbalance like a Zeeman term in a superconductor

$$H_{\mathsf{BdG}} = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \mu - h & -\Delta \\ -\Delta & -(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \mu) - h \end{pmatrix}$$

Neglect the orbital effect?

![](_page_17_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### T=0 magnetised superconductors

BCS side of the resonance

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

[G. Sarma, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 24 1029 (1963)]

#### T≠0 magnetised superconductor

#### BCS side of the resonance

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

[G. Sarma, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 24 1029 (1963)]

#### Analogy with <sup>3</sup>He-<sup>4</sup>He mixtures

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

Molar fraction of He-3 in the mixture (%)

![](_page_20_Picture_3.jpeg)

▶ <sup>3</sup>He-<sup>4</sup>He = Bose-Fermi mixture...

... and the polarised Fermi gas is a Bose-Fermi mixture on the BEC side of the resonance

Expect the same structure on the BEC side?

#### Mean-field: excitation spectrum

Paired states start to be depleted when:

$$E_{\mathbf{k},\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow} = \underbrace{\sqrt{(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}-\mu)^2 + \Delta^2}}_{E_{\mathbf{k}}} \pm h$$

![](_page_21_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### Mean-field grand-canonical free energy

$$\Omega^{(0)}(\mu,h) = \min_{\Delta} f^{(0)}(\Delta;\mu,h) \qquad \Longrightarrow \quad f^{(0)}(\frac{\Delta}{|\mu|};\frac{h}{|\mu|})$$

$$\begin{cases} n = -\frac{\partial \Omega^{(0)}}{\partial \mu} \\ m = -\frac{\partial \Omega^{(0)}}{\partial h} \end{cases}$$

$$\stackrel{m}{\longrightarrow} \quad \frac{m}{n}$$
 polarisation

#### 1<sup>st</sup> order phase transition

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

(oil&water)

![](_page_23_Figure_2.jpeg)

 $\qquad \frac{1}{k_F a} < \left(\frac{1}{k_F a}\right)_{\text{tricrit}}$ 

and  $\frac{T}{\varepsilon_F} < \left(\frac{T}{\varepsilon_F}\right)_{\text{tricrit}}$ 

#### 1<sup>st</sup> order phase transition

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### 2<sup>nd</sup> order phase transition

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_0.jpeg)

[W. V. Liu & F. Wilczek, PRL 90 047002 (2003)]

[M. Parish, F.M. Marchetti *et al.*, *Nature Physics* 3, 124 (2007)]
 [M. Parish, F.M. Marchetti *et al.*, *PRL* 98, 160402 (2007)]

#### Finite T phase diagram

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Adding pair fluctuations (finite T)

[P. Nozieres & S. Schmitt-Rink, J. Low temp. Phys. 59, 195 (1985)]

• One loop correction to mean-field  $T_c$  (  $\Delta = 0$  )

$$\Omega(\mu, h) = \Omega^{(0)}(\mu, h) + \Omega^{(1)}(\mu, h)$$

$$n = -\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \mu} = n^{(0)} + n^{(1)}$$

$$m = -\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial h} = m^{(0)} + m^{(1)}$$

$$m = -\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial h} = m^{(0)} + m^{(1)}$$
condensed pairs + qp's thermal pairs

#### Finite T phase diagram

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Finite T phase diagram

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Single- vs. two-channel model

[A. Andreev et al., PRL 93, 130402 (2004)]

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{1C} = \sum_{\mathbf{k},\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{\sigma} + \frac{U}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}',\mathbf{q}} c_{\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{\downarrow} c_{\uparrow}$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{2C} = \sum_{\mathbf{k},\sigma} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{\sigma} + \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left( \frac{\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}}{2} + \delta_{0} \right) b^{\dagger} b + \frac{g}{\sqrt{V}} \sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'} \left( b c_{\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{\downarrow}^{\dagger} + \text{h.c.} \right)$$

The single-channel model is recovered in the limit

$$\frac{4\pi a}{m} = \frac{-g^2}{\delta_0 - \frac{g^2}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{2\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}}} \equiv \frac{-g^2 \to \infty}{\delta \to \infty} = \text{const}$$

g can be a small parameter (narrow resonances) and controls the fluctuations corrections above mean-field

#### Single- vs. two-channel model

[A. Andreev et al., PRL 93, 130402 (2004)]

[M. Parish, F.M. Marchetti et al., Nature Physics 3, 124 (2007)]

![](_page_33_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### **Trapped Fermi Gases**

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Phase Diagram for Trapped Gases**

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Experiments on Imbalanced Fermi Clouds**

- $n_{\uparrow}(\mathbf{r}) n_{\downarrow}(\mathbf{r})$
- In-situ imaging of phase separation (3D density distribution  $n_{\uparrow,\downarrow}(\mathbf{r})$  )

SF

N

N

![](_page_36_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### Experiments on Imbalanced Fermi Clouds

Sharp phase boundary at low temperatures (1<sup>st</sup> order transition)

 $T < 0.05 T_{F}$ m/n = 0.35

![](_page_37_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_0.jpeg)

[M. Parish, F.M. Marchetti et al., PRL 98, 160402 (2007)]

#### **Dynamics of Phase Separation**

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Dynamics of Phase Separation**

Spinodal: phase separation starts via a linear instability

![](_page_42_Figure_2.jpeg)

# **Spinodal Decomposition**

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

E.g. Temperature quenches in polymers in solutions,...

![](_page_43_Picture_3.jpeg)

[Courtesy of Nigel Clarke, Polymer IRC]

#### **Spinodal Region**

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Unstable Modes**

#### Matrix response function (to changes of the density)

 $\frac{m}{n}$ 

![](_page_45_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### **Most Unstable Modes**

![](_page_46_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Conclusions

![](_page_47_Figure_1.jpeg)

3. Dynamics of phase separation

#### Some future work

#### Bose-Fermi mixtures (with a Feshbach resonance)

![](_page_48_Figure_2.jpeg)

(with C. Mathy and M. Parish)