

## Problem set 2

February 8, 2013

to submit by Tuesday the 19<sup>th</sup> of February

### 1 One-body density matrix and OLRO

Starting from the definition of the one-body density matrix for the case of an ideal gas in a 3D box,

$$n^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \sum_{\mathbf{p}} f_{\mathbf{p}} \varphi_{\mathbf{p}}^*(\mathbf{r}) \varphi_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{r}'), \quad (1)$$

where the eigenfunctions of  $\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \frac{\hat{\mathbf{p}}^2}{2m}$  are plane waves,  $\varphi_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{r}) = e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}/\hbar}/\sqrt{V}$ , and  $f_{\mathbf{p}} = \frac{1}{e^{\beta(\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}} - \mu)} - 1}$ ,

1. evaluate analytically the behaviour at large distances ( $s = |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'| \gg \lambda_T$ ) of  $n^{(1)}(s)$  for  $T < T_c$ , and show that  $n^{(1)}(s) \simeq n_0 + 1/(\lambda_T^2 s)$ , where  $\lambda_T$  is the de Broglie wavelength and  $n_0 = N_0/V$  the condensate density.
2. Repeat the same calculation for  $T > T_c$  and show that now the decay to zero is of the type of Yukawa-law, i.e.  $n^{(1)}(s) \simeq e^{\beta\mu} e^{-\sqrt{4\pi(1-e^{\beta\mu})}s/\lambda_T} / (\lambda_T^2 s)$ .
3. Consider the case of a classical gas, where the distribution function is the Maxwell-Boltzmann one,  $f_{\mathbf{p}} = e^{-\beta\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}}}$  and evaluate the one-body density matrix  $n^{(1)}(s)$ . Show that if you consider the short-distance behaviour  $s \ll \lambda_T$  of the general quantum case, you get exactly the same result.

### 2 Second quantisation

Consider the definitions of creation and annihilation operators for bosons (occupation  $N_i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ )

$$\hat{a}_j^\dagger |N_0, N_1, \dots, N_j, \dots\rangle = \sqrt{N_j + 1} |N_0, N_1, \dots, N_j + 1, \dots\rangle \quad (2)$$

$$\hat{a}_j |N_0, N_1, \dots, N_j, \dots\rangle = \sqrt{N_j} |N_0, N_1, \dots, N_j - 1, \dots\rangle, \quad (3)$$

and for fermions (occupation  $N_i = 0, 1$ ):

$$\hat{c}_j^\dagger |N_0, N_1, \dots, N_j, \dots\rangle = (-1)^{\mathcal{P}} (1 - N_j) |N_0, N_1, \dots, N_j + 1, \dots\rangle \quad (4)$$

$$\hat{c}_j |N_0, N_1, \dots, N_j, \dots\rangle = (-1)^{\mathcal{P}} N_j |N_0, N_1, \dots, N_j - 1, \dots\rangle, \quad (5)$$

where  $\mathcal{P} = N_0 + N_1 + \dots + N_{i-1}$  and where we indicate with  $i = 0, 1, \dots$  the energy levels of the single-particle problem  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}|\epsilon_i\rangle = \epsilon_i|\epsilon_i\rangle$  and with  $N_i$  the occupation number of each such a state.

4. Derive the canonical commutation and anticommutation relations

$$[\hat{a}_i, \hat{a}_j^\dagger] = \delta_{ij} \quad [\hat{a}_i, \hat{a}_j] = 0 = [\hat{a}_i^\dagger, \hat{a}_j^\dagger], \quad (6)$$

and

$$\{\hat{c}_i, \hat{c}_j^\dagger\} = \delta_{ij} \quad \{\hat{c}_i, \hat{c}_j\} = 0 = \{\hat{c}_i^\dagger, \hat{c}_j^\dagger\}. \quad (7)$$

5. For bosons show that in general one has that

$$[a_i, \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_k] = \delta_{1j} a_k \quad [a_i^\dagger, \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_k] = -\delta_{ik} a_j^\dagger. \quad (8)$$

6. Consider the following two-state Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = \epsilon \left( \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_1 + \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_2 \right) + \Delta \left( \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_2^\dagger + \hat{a}_2 \hat{a}_1 \right), \quad (9)$$

for two boson fields (all operators commute except  $[\hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_1^\dagger] = 1 = [\hat{a}_2, \hat{a}_2^\dagger]$ ) — note that this Hamiltonian does not conserve the number of particles. Show that you can rewrite the Hamiltonian in the form

$$\hat{H} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{a}_1^\dagger & \hat{a}_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon & \Delta \\ \Delta & \epsilon \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{a}_1 \\ \hat{a}_2^\dagger \end{pmatrix} - \epsilon. \quad (10)$$

7. Find a general transformation to a new set of operators

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{\gamma}_1 \\ \hat{\gamma}_2^\dagger \end{pmatrix} = O \begin{pmatrix} \hat{a}_1 \\ \hat{a}_2^\dagger \end{pmatrix} \quad (11)$$

where  $O$  is a  $2 \times 2$  matrix, such that the bosonic canonical transformation relations are preserved for  $\hat{\gamma}_i$ .

8. Choose the parameters of this transformation  $O$  such that now the Hamiltonian expressed in terms of these new operators is diagonal, i.e., of the form

$$\hat{H} = E_1 \hat{\gamma}_1^\dagger \hat{\gamma}_1 + E_2 \hat{\gamma}_2^\dagger \hat{\gamma}_2. \quad (12)$$